Problems with current paper categories Paper categorisation meeting, Leeds 15th June 2006

Paper classification in ISO 12647-2

	L*a	a* a	b* a	Gloss ^b	ISO brightness ^c	Mass per area ^d
Paper type	1	1	1	%	%	g/m²
1: Gloss-coated, wood-free	93 (95)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	65	89	115
2: Matte-coated, wood-free	92 (94)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	38	89	115
3: Gloss-coated, web	87 (92)	-1 (0))	3 (5)	55	70	70
4: Uncoated, white	92 (95)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	6	93	115
5: Uncoated, slightly yellowish	88 (90)	0 (0)	6 (9)	6	73	115
Tolerance	± 3	± 2	± 2	± 5		_

- Classification is almost the same in 2004 version as with 1996 version
- Paper world has changed in last 10 years quite a much!



Traditional classification of printing paper grades as in early 90'



"QUALITY" (Brightness, Surface & Print Quality)



Classification of printing paper grades nowadays



"QUALITY" (Brightness, Surface & Print Quality)



Pain points in ISO 12637-2 paper classification

	L* a	a* a	b*a	Gloss ³	ISO brightness ^c	Mass per area
Paper type	1	1	1	%	%	g/m²
1: Gloss-coated, wood-free	93 (95)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	65	89	115
2: Matte-coated, wood-free 2	92 (94)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	38	89	115
3: Gloss-coated, web	87 (92)	-1 (0))	3 (5)	55	70	70
4: Uncoated, white	92 (95)	0 (0)	-3 (-2)	6	93	115
5: Uncoated, slightly yell 5	88 (90)	0 (0)	6 (9)	6	73	115
Tolerance	± 3	± 2	± 2	± 5		_

- 1. Paper shades do they reflect reality nowadays?
- 2. Matte-coated what is meant with that?
- 3. Gloss does it have anything to do with CM?
- 4. Is grammage important from CM and standardization point of view?
- Slightly yellowish uncoated papers very minor product group is it worth mentioning?



1. Paper shade and luminance – case study





2. Matte-coated





3. Gloss

- Paper surface has different properties
 - roughness
 - porosity
 - gloss
- From CM point of view important are properties that affect
 - print density
 - dot gain
- In both cases most important paper property is
 - Ink demand, which is controlled
 - mainly by roughness (with coated grades)
 - partly by porosity (especially with uncoated grades)





Ink demand – an example (publication papers)





Classifications – shade vs ink demand



Paper shade and brightness



ECI/WOWG

- Web Offset Working Group under European Color Initiave
 - Work started in 2004 by evaluating the usability of current ISO profiles in web offset printing
 - It continued by developing new profiles for SC and MFC papers
 - Chairman: Olof Druemmer



ECI/WOWG – ICC profile naming

- ECI/Fogra will publish new set of characterisation data along with profiles in near future (autumn06?)
- WOWG made suggestion, which influences also to paper classification

Profile naming	Paper grade	TAC	Characterisation data	
ECI_ISO_Web_WFC	Woodfree coated, Medium weight coated	320%	Fogra xx (39?) same as in sheet-fed	
ECI_ISO_Web_LWC	Light weight coated	300%	Fogra xx (40?) same as in sheet-fed	
ECI_ISO_WEB_SC	Supecalandered	270%	Fogra xx created by WOWG	
ECI_ISO_Web_MFC	Machine finished coated	tba	Fogra xx to be created by WOWG	
ECI_ISO_Web_NP	Newsprint (in heatset)	tba	Fogra xx to be created by WOWG	
ECI_ISO_Web_WFU	Woodfree uncoated	tba	Fogra xx	



Summary

- Classification from CM point of view should be based on
 - paper shade and brightness
 - needs to be fine-tuned in future revisions of ISO 12647-2
 - dot gain (which can be predicted through ink demand)
- Clear categories can be found
 - WFC/MWC
 - LWC
 - MFC
 - SC
 - UWF
 - NP
- However there will always be papers on the borderline and decisions have to be made case by case

